

C O M U N E    D I    S E I   A P E T T O S  
Provincia di Cagliari

D E L I B E R A Z I O N E    D E L L A    G I U N T A    M U N I C I P A L E

.....  
N. 041 del Reg.        | Oggetto: Omologo Autolizzazione amministrativa per  
                         | 1) commercio in sede fissa    Sig. ra Pilla  
data: 15.12.05        | Maria Cristina  
                         |  
.....

L'anno milleseicentotrentacinque addì quindici del mese di dicembre in Sala Giunta nella sede comunale, si è riunita la Giunta Municipale nella persona del Signor:

|                     |         | Presenti | Absenti |
|---------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| MELIS Antonio       | Sindaco | P        |         |
| AMBU Maria Carmen   | Absente | P        |         |
| CAMBA Franco        | Absente | P        |         |
| CANNAS Paola        | Absente | P        |         |
| CONTU M. Chiara     | Absente | P        |         |
| ORRIS Renato        | Absente | P        |         |
| ROSCEGNI Laura Anna | Absente | P        |         |
|                     | TOTALE  | 7        |         |

Assume la Presidenza il Sindaco Dott. Antonio Melis,

Assiste alla seduta il Segretario Generale Reg. Giulio SARDI

## LA GIUNTA MUNICIPALE

**Premises** show some apparent contradiction, and a possible alternative is that the value  $\alpha$  computed for each procedure being generated is different. For example, in [14] it is stated that the number of nodes in a procedure is  $1.27 \cdot 10^6$ – $1.19 \cdot 10^6$  for procedures with 4–5 instructions. In [7] the bounds and their  $\alpha$  have a relationship of 1.6 rather than 2.2, being much smaller than  $\alpha$  computed for the same procedure. It has a pair of nodes that do not have a pair of successors. In regard to the number of nodes of the procedure, the number of nodes of the procedure is 1.6 times the number of instructions of the procedure. The number of nodes of the procedure is 1.6 times the number of instructions of the procedure. The number of nodes of the procedure is 1.6 times the number of instructions of the procedure.

Vitala La Roppa, an author, died in 1996. He appeared in model 11 before

Volume 110, Number 2, July 2000

Volume 110 Part 1, 2000, pp. 1-20, 0950-4230/00 \$10.00 + 0.00

Vitala, L. Doppo, n. 227 del 24-12-1992.

**Vietnam**, 1961-1970, 1984, 1994, 1999, 2004, 2009, 2014, 2019.

Volume 110, Number 1, published in 1994, is \$102; in 1995, it is \$100.

Ytala, L. L. P. in: *St. John's* 1991

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  be families of disjoint hyper-summable sets of countable Dedekind-infinite sets. If  $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}'$  is not  $\mathcal{H}$ - $\mathcal{H}'$  disjoint, then  $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}'$  is not  $\mathcal{H}$ - $\mathcal{H}'$  disjoint. (Received 16 April 1993.)

[illegible][illegible]

Define  $\mathbf{A}$  to be the  $n \times n$  matrix with entries  $A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j)$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric and  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is nonsingular.

[illegible]

**Keywords:** child sexual abuse; disclosure; self-blame; social support

1101 1101A

DE =  $\frac{1}{2}(\text{propanes} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6)$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\text{acetylene} + \text{ethene})$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\text{propanes} + \text{propane})$ . The summation of the results of these calculations yields the following:  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 = 0.44$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 = 0.40$  mole per mole of the propane gas phase and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 = 0.44$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 = 0.40$  mole per mole of the propane gas phase and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 = 0.44$  and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2 = 0.40$  mole per mole of the propane gas phase.

Definition 1. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $\mathcal{A}$ -preorders.  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *more distributive* (resp. *more modular*) than  $\mathcal{B}$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is distributive (resp. modular) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is not distributive (resp. not modular).

DOI: 10.1002/chem.200500505

Received 12 July 2005; accepted 12 July 2005; published online 12 July 2005

\* \* \*

\*\*\*\*\*

Fatto, confermato e sottoscritto

Il Segretario (A) /

L'Assessore Anselmo

Il Sindaco

**CERTIFICATO DI PUBBLICAZIONE**

Si attesta che la presente deliberazione è in pubblicazione  
all'Albo Pretorio di questo Comune per quindici giorni  
consecutivi dal

22 DIC 1995

Il

22 DIC 1995



Il Segretario (A) /